

EXHIBIT A

Pages 1 - 99

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAUREL BEELER, JUDGE

GROUSE RIVER OUTFITTERS, LTD.,)

Plaintiff,)

VS.)

ORACLE CORPORATION,)

Defendant.)

No. C 16-2954 LB

San Francisco, California

Thursday, June 20, 2019

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

KIEVE LAW OFFICES

2655 Steiner Street

San Francisco, California 94115-1141

BY: LOREN KIEVE, ESQ.

SUSMAN GODFREY LLP

1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100

Houston, Texas 77002

BY: STEPHEN SUSMAN, ESQ.

SUSMAN GODFREY LLP

1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400

Los Angeles, California 90067

BY: MENG XI, ESQ.

(Appearances continued on next page)

Reported By:

Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RMR, CRR
Official Reporter - U.S. District Court

1 requirements, and it doesn't contain that representation. So,
2 you know, if it's tethered to a document, the document has to
3 say that.

4 It is possible that possibly oral representation can be
5 testified about at trial as long as they're disclosed in that
6 chart; right? I mean, they're in that chart. And it's
7 possible that they're oral. And it's possible that they could
8 be elicited by whoever you call or whoever you cross-examine.
9 But that's an issue with that chart going to the jury at the
10 get-go.

11 If every single thing in that chart were tethered to a
12 document that was admissible, then that's fine. You guys are
13 going to have to work that out.

14 If some are tethered to testimony, you know, then that
15 allegation survives as long as there's evidence that it was --
16 the representation actually was made. But if it wasn't made,
17 that chart, in the end, for that thing doesn't go to the jury.

18 And that's why I thought that ultimately, at the end of
19 the day, maybe -- you know, whether we decide at the end of
20 trial to -- I never really, sort of, got the chart in the first
21 place, because it's not really a summary chart.

22 If you guys agreed it could go to the jury, I don't have
23 any problem with that. It does summarize evidence. You could
24 call it a summary of evidence, and you could agree that it be
25 admitted.

1 **MS. JOVAIS:** No.

2 **THE COURT:** They can go into the binders, which
3 doesn't mean that they go in.

4 So here's the thing. The jury exhibits are here in a box.
5 The other exhibits are here. You guys can swap them out if you
6 want to, and I'll just deal with the stuff later.

7 Again, one bankers box there. I'll leave these four up
8 here for now, and I'll come collect them. If you don't mind
9 just swapping them out, but I'm not going to have the clerk do
10 it. They're all just working too hard.

11 **MS. XI:** Thank you.

12 **THE COURT:** Yeah.

13 (At 5:18 p.m. the proceedings were adjourned.)

14 - - - - -

15
16
17 **CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER**

18 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
19 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

20 DATE: Tuesday, June 25, 2019

21
22 *Katherine Sullivan*
23

24
25 _____
Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RMR, CRR
U.S. Court Reporter